

REMARKS


Claims 1-2, 6, 9, 11 and 13-14 are pending in this application. Claims 3-5, 7-8, 10, 12 and 15-22 were previously canceled by way of a preliminary amendment that was filed with this application, which is a continuation of application 09/694,971 (now U.S. Pat. No. 6,629,403). Pending claims 1-2, 6, 9, 11 and 13-14 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-12 of the foregoing patent 6,629,403. Claims 11 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph and claims 6, 9, 11 and 13-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,072,573.

By way of this instant amendment, claims 6, 9, 11 and 13-14 are canceled. A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to overcome the double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 2.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is in form for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 9/2/04



Martin L. Stern, Reg. No. 28,911
David R. Morris, Reg. No. 53,348
Michael Best & Friedrich, LLP
401 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 1900
Chicago, Illinois 60611
Telephone: (312) 222-0818
Facsimile: (312) 222-0818

S:\CLIENT\204280\9001\C0216267.4

Amendments to the Drawings

The Office Action states that Figure 11 is objected to because the cam follower and elements coupled thereto appear to be protruding out from the plane of the page. The Office Action also states that the as-filed Figure 11 as illustrated contradicts the description of Figure 11 in the specification.

Applicant acknowledges that Figure 11 is inconsistent with the related Figure 10 and the description in the specification due to a draftsperson's error in preparing formal drawings. Figures 10 and 11 together illustrate the operation of the subject mandrel. To overcome the noted objection a replacement Figure 11 is submitted herewith.